arXiv:1411.7107v1 [math.CT] 26 Nov 2014

The Catalan Simplicial Set II

Mitchell Buckley

30 October, 2014

Abstract

The Catalan simplicial set $\mathbb{C}$ is known to classify skew-monoidal categories in the sense that a map from $\mathbb{C}$ to a suitably defined nerve of Cat is precisely a skew-monoidal category [1]. We extend this result to the case of skew monoidales internal to any monoidal bicategory $\mathcal{B}$. We then show that monoidal bicategories themselves are classified by maps from $\mathbb{C}$ to a suitably defined nerve of Bicat and extend this result to obtain a definition of skew-monoidal bicategory that aligns with existing theory.

1 Introduction

Skew-monoidal categories generalise Mac Lane's notion of monoidal category [2] by dropping the requirement of invertibility of the associativity and unit constraints. They were introduced recently by Szlachányi [3] in his study of bialgebroids, which are themselves an extension of the notion of quantum group. Monoidal categories and skew-monoidal categories can be further generalised to notions of *monoidale* and *skew monoidale* in a monoidal bicategory; this has further relevance for quantum algebra, since Lack and Street showed in [4] that quantum categories in the sense of [5] can be described using skew monoidales.

Skew-monoidal categories are only one of many possible generalisations of monoidal category: the orientation of the coherence maps and the number and shape of the axioms could reasonably be chosen otherwise. The connection with bialgebroids and quantum categories motivates the particular generalisation in current usage, but until recently there was no abstract justification for such a choice.

The Catalan simplicial set $\mathbb{C}$ was introduced in [1] where it was shown that, apart from a number of interesting combinatorial properties, it classifies skew-monoidal categories in the sense that simplicial maps from $\mathbb{C}$ into a suitably-defined nerve of Cat are the same thing as skew-monoidal categories. This provides some abstract justification for the choices made in describing coherence data for skew-monoidal categories.

The first main goal of this paper is to demonstrate that $\mathbb{C}$ has a further classifying property: for any monoidal bicategory $\mathcal{B}$, simplicial maps from $\mathbb{C}$ into a suitably defined nerve of $\mathcal{B}$ are the same as skew monoidales in $\mathcal{B}$. More precisely, we construct a biequivalence between the $\mathrm{sSet}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbf{N}\mathcal{B})$, the bicategory

whose objects are simplicial maps from $\mathbb{C}$ to the nerve of $\mathcal{B}$, and $\mathrm{SkMon}(\mathcal{B})$, the bicategory of skew-monoidales, lax monoidal morphisms and monoidal transformations.

Our second main goal is to investigate whether $\mathbb{C}$ has this classifying property for higher-dimensional categories; in particular, whether simplicial maps from $\mathbb{C}$ to a suitably defined nerve of Bicat are the same as monoidal or skew-monoidal bicategories. We first describe a nerve for Bicat by informally regarding it as a monoidal tricategory. We then find that simplicial maps from $\mathbb{C}$ into this nerve contain some unexpected data which go beyond what is required for a monoidal bicategory. In the case for monoidal bicategories, when the coherence data are invertible, the unexpected data are essentially trivial and the classification result holds. In the case for skew-monoidal bicategories, the data are not invertible, and the unexpected data appear to be a problem. We address this by identifying certain simplices in $\mathbb{C}$ and insist that they be mapped to trivial coherence data. By considering only simplicials maps satisfying this condition, it is easy to compute the data and axioms of a skew-monoidal bicategory.

In Section 1 we provide a general introduction. In Section 2 we define skew-monoidal categories and re-introduce the Catalan simplicial set. In Section 3 we provide an introduction to monoidal bicategories, skew monoidales, and nerves of monoidal bicategories. In Section 4 we describe a biequivalence between maps from $\mathbb{C}$ to the nerve of a monoidal bicategory $\mathcal{B}$ and skew monoidales in $\mathcal{B}$. In Section 5 we describe a nerve for Bicat, and define skew-monoidal bicategories by examining certain maps from $\mathbb{C}$ to the nerve of Bicat.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we recall the definition of skew-monoidal category, outline our notation for simplicial sets, and re-introduce the Calatan simplical set defined in [1].

2.1 Skew-monoidal categories

A *skew-monoidal category* is a category $\mathcal{A}$ equipped with a a unit element $I \in \mathcal{A}$ and a tensor $\otimes\colon \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ with natural families of maps:

$$\begin{array}{c} \lambda_A\colon I \otimes A \to A \qquad \text{and} \qquad \rho_A\colon A \to A \otimes I \quad (\text{for } A \in \mathcal{A}) \\ \alpha_{ABC}\colon (A \otimes B) \otimes C \to A \otimes (B \otimes C) \quad (\text{for } A, B, C, \in \mathcal{A}) \end{array} \tag{2.1}$$

satisfying five axioms:


$(A \otimes B) \otimes (C \otimes D)$
α
α
$((A \otimes B) \otimes C) \otimes D$
$A \otimes (B \otimes (C \otimes D))$
$\alpha \otimes D$
$A \otimes \alpha$
$(A \otimes (B \otimes C)) \otimes D$
α
$A \otimes ((B \otimes C) \otimes D)$

(2.2)



(2.3)



(2.4)



(2.5)



(2.6)

These five axioms are the same as those given in Mac Lane's original formulation of monoidal categories [2]. Thus, when α, λ and ρ are invertible, $\mathcal{A}$ is precisely a monoidal category. In that case, Kelly [8] showed that the final three axioms can be derived from the first two, in light of which, some definitions of monoidal category choose to include only those first two axioms. The same result does not hold for skew-monoidal categories and so we must list all five.

On a similar note, when $\mathcal{A}$ is a monoidal category the commutativity of these particular diagrams in fact implies the commutativity of *all* such diagrams; this is one form of the coherence theorem for monoidal categories [7]. Skew-monoidal categories, by contrast, do not have the property that all coherence diagrams commute. For example, the composite $\rho_I \lambda_I \colon I \otimes I \to I \otimes I$ does not generally equal the identity on $I \otimes I$.

2.2 Simplicial sets

We write Δ for the simplicial category; the objects are $[n] = \{0, \ldots, n\}$ for $n \geq 0$ and the morphisms are order-preserving functions. Objects X of $\mathrm{SSet} = [\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Set}]$ are called *simplicial sets*; we write X_n for $X([n])$ and call its elements *n-simplices* of X. We use the notation $d_i \colon X_n \to X_{n-1}$ and $s_i \colon X_n \to X_{n+1}$ for the face and degeneracy maps, induced by acting on X by the maps $\delta_i \colon [n-1] \to [n]$ and $\sigma_i \colon [n+1] \to [n]$ of Δ, the respective injections and surjections for which $\delta_i^{-1}(i) = \emptyset$ and $\sigma_i^{-1}(i) = \{i, i+1\}$. An $(n+1)$-simplex x is called *degenerate* when it is in the image of some s_i, and *non-degenerate* otherwise.

A simplicial set is called *r-coskeletal* when it lies in the image of the right Kan extension functor $[(\Delta^{(r)})^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Set}] \to [\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Set}]$, where $\Delta^{(r)} \subset \Delta$ is the full subcategory on those $[n]$ with $n \leq r$. In elementary terms, a simplicial set is r-coskeletal when every n-boundary with $n > r$ has a unique filler; here, an *n-boundary* in a simplicial set is a collection of $(n-1)$-simplices $(x_0, \ldots, x_n)$ satisfying $d_j(x_i) = d_i(x_{j+1})$ for all $0 \leqslant i \leqslant j < n$; a *filler* for such a boundary is an n-simplex x with $d_i(x) = x_i$ for $i = 0, \ldots, n$.

2.3 The Catalan simplicial set

The Catalan simplicial set $\mathbb{C}$ was introduced and studied in [1]; its name derives from the fact that it has a Catalan number of simplices in each dimension. There are many ways to characterise $\mathbb{C}$ up to isomorphism; perhaps the most concise and elegant is as the nerve of the monoidal poset $(2, \vee, \bot)$. Here, we will take the following description as basic, since it is most helpful for seeing the connection with skew-monoidal categories.

Definition 2.1. The *Catalan simplicial set* $\mathbb{C}$ is the simplicial set with:

- A unique 0-simplex $\star$;
- Two 1-simplices $s_0(\star) \colon \star \to \star$ and $c \colon \star \to \star$;
- Five 2-simplices as displayed in:



;

- Higher-dimensional simplices determined by 2-coskeletality.

Since $\mathbb{C}$ is 2-coskeletal, all simplices above dimension one are uniquely determined by their faces and as such, every n-simplex a for $n \geq 2$ can be identified

with the $(n+1)$-tuple of faces $(d_0(a), d_1(a), \ldots, d_n(a))$. By direct computation we find that there are four non-degenerate 3-simplices

$$\begin{aligned} a &= (t,t,t,t) \\ \ell &= (i, s_1(c), t, s_1(c)) \\ r &= (s_0(c), t, s_0(c), i) \\ k &= (i, s_1(c), s_0(c), i)\ ; \end{aligned}$$

and nine non-degenerate 4-simplices

$$\begin{aligned} A1 &= (a,a,a,a,a) & A6 &= (s_0(i), r, k, \ell, s_2(i)) \\ A2 &= (r, s_1(t), a, s_1(t), \ell) & A7 &= (k, r, s_0 s_1(c), \ell, k) \\ A3 &= (r, r, s_2(t), a, s_2(t)) & A8 &= (\ell, s_1(t), s_0(t), \ell, k) \quad . \\ A4 &= (s_0(t), a, s_0(t), \ell, \ell) & A9 &= (k, r, s_2(t), s_1(t), r) \\ A5 &= (s_1(i), s_2(i), k, s_0(i), s_1(i)) \end{aligned}$$

The simplices above dimension four will play more of a role in Section 5.

Now consider a simplicial map $F\colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathrm{NCat}$, it is completely determined by its behaviour on non-degenerate simplices. At dimension 0, $F\star$ is the unique 0-simplex in the nerve of Cat. At dimension 1, we get a category Fc. At dimension 2, we get two functors $Ft\colon Fc \times Fc \to Fc$ and $Fi\colon I \times I \to Fc$. At dimension 3, we get four natural transformations.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (Fc \times Fc) \times Fc & & \xrightarrow{\cong} & & Fc \times (Fc \times Fc) \\ {\scriptstyle Ft\times 1}\downarrow & & \overset{Fa}{\Longrightarrow} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1\times Ft} \\ Fc \times Fc & \xrightarrow[Ft]{} & Fc & \xleftarrow[Ft]{} & Fc \times Fc \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (I \times I) \times Fc & & \xrightarrow{\cong} & & I \times (I \times Fc) \\ {\scriptstyle Fi\times 1}\downarrow & & \overset{F\ell}{\Longrightarrow} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1\times\cong} \\ Fc \times Fc & \xrightarrow[Ft]{} & Fc & \xleftarrow[\cong]{} & I \times Fc \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (Fc \times I) \times I & & \xrightarrow{\cong} & & Fc \times (I \times I) \\ {\scriptstyle \cong\times 1}\downarrow & & \overset{Fr}{\Longrightarrow} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1\times Fi} \\ Fc \times I & \xrightarrow[\cong]{} & Fc & \xleftarrow[Ft]{} & Fc \times Fc \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (I \times I) \times I & & \xrightarrow{\cong} & & I \times (I \times I) \\ {\scriptstyle Fi\times 1}\downarrow & & \overset{Fk}{\Longrightarrow} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1\times Fi} \\ Fc \times I & \xrightarrow[\cong]{} & I & \xleftarrow[\cong]{} & I \times Fc \end{array}$$

The unnamed isomorphisms are canonical maps arising from the monoidal category structure on Cat. Already we can see the strong resemblance with skew-monoidal categories. At dimension 5 we get nine axioms concerning transformations Fa, $F\ell$, Fr, Fk. Among those nine are the Mac Lane pentagon and the four other axioms for a skew-monoidal category.

There is some work to do in sorting out the details, but there is a perfect bijection between skew-monoidal categories and simplicial maps $F\colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathrm{NCat}$. This is the final classification result presented in [1] and the result which we seek to generalise.

3 Monoidal bicategories and skew monoidales

One way to generalise monoidal categories is to consider monoidales in a monoidal bicategory $\mathcal{B}$. In this case a monoidal category is precisely a monoidale in Cat. In the same way, it is possible to generalise skew-monoidal categories by describing skew monoidales in a monoidal bicategory $\mathcal{B}$, in which case, a skew-monoidal category is precisely a skew monoidale in Cat. This generalisation was put to use by Lack and Street in [4], where it was shown that quantum categories in the sense of [5] are skew monoidales in a monoidal bicategory of comodules.

In the following section, we will show that skew-monoiales in a monoidal bicategory $\mathcal{B}$ correspond with simplicial maps from $\mathbb{C}$ into a suitably defined nerve of $\mathcal{B}$. Our result will take the form of a biequivalence

$$\mathrm{SkMon}(\mathcal{B}) \simeq \mathrm{sSet}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbf{N}\mathcal{B}) \ . \tag{3.1}$$

The purpose of the present section is to define the bicategories appearing on each side of (3.1). We begin by fixing definitions and notation for monoidal bicategories. We then define skew monoidales and describe the bicategory $\mathrm{SkMon}(\mathcal{B})$ of skew monoidales in $\mathcal{B}$ appearing to the left of (3.1). Finally we describe a nerve construction for monoidal bicategories assigning to each monoidal bicategory $\mathcal{B}$ a simplicial set $\mathrm{N}\mathcal{B}$, and explain how this simplicial set underlies a simplicial bicategory $\mathbf{N}\mathcal{B}$; now homming into this simplicial bicategory from $\mathbb{C}$ yields the bicategory $\mathrm{sSet}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbf{N}\mathcal{B})$ on the right-hand side of (3.1).

3.1 Monoidal bicategories

A *monoidal bicategory* is a one-object tricategory in the sense of [9]; it thus comprises a bicategory $\mathcal{B}$ equipped with a unit object I and tensor product homomorphism $\otimes\colon \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ which is associative and unital only up to pseudonatural equivalences $\mathfrak{a}$, $\mathfrak{l}$ and $\mathfrak{r}$. The coherence of these equivalences is witnessed by invertible modifications π, μ, σ and τ, whose components are 2-cells with boundaries those of the axioms (2.2)–(2.5) above, and an invertible 2-cell θ whose boundary is that of (2.6). The modifications π, μ, σ and τ are as in [9], though we write σ and τ for what there are called λ and ρ; whilst $\theta\colon \mathfrak{r}_I \circ \mathfrak{l}_I \Rightarrow 1_{I\otimes I}\colon I \otimes I \to I \otimes I$ can be defined from the remaining coherence

data as the composite



.

The axioms for a tricategory also imply that each of σ and τ are also completely determined by π and μ.

Here, and elsewhere in this paper, we use string notation to display composite 2-cells in a bicategory, with objects represented by regions, 1-cells by strings, and generating 2-cells by vertices. We orient our string diagrams with 1-cells proceeding down the page and 2-cells proceeding from left to right. If a 1-cell ψ belongs to a specified adjoint equivalence, then we will denote its specified adjoint pseudoinverse by $\psi^\bullet$, and as usual with adjunctions, will draw the unit and counit of the adjoint equivalence in string diagrams as simple caps and cups. In representing the monoidal structure of a bicategory, we notate the tensor product $\otimes$ by juxtaposition, notate the structural 1-cells $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{r}$ and 2-cells $\pi, \mu, \sigma, \tau, \theta$ explicitly, and use string crossings to notate pseudonaturality constraint 2-cells, and also instances of the pseudofunctoriality of $\otimes$ of the form $(f \otimes 1) \circ (1 \otimes g) \cong (1 \otimes g) \circ (f \otimes 1)$ (the interchange isomorphisms). String splittings and joinings are used to notate pseudofunctoriality of $\otimes$ of the form $f \otimes g \cong (f \otimes 1) \circ (1 \otimes g)$ and $(1 \otimes g) \circ (f \otimes 1) \cong f \otimes g$ respectively.

3.2 Skew monoidales

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a monoidal bicategory.

Definition 3.1. A *skew monoidale* in $\mathcal{B}$ is an object $A \in \mathcal{B}$ together with morphisms $i\colon I \to A$ and $t\colon A \otimes A \to A$, and (non-invertible) coherence 2-cells

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (A \otimes A) \otimes A & & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{a}} & & A \otimes (A \otimes A) \\ {\scriptstyle t \otimes A}\downarrow & & \overset{\alpha}{\Rightarrow} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle A \otimes t} \\ A \otimes A & \xrightarrow[t]{} & A & \xleftarrow[t]{} & A \otimes A \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} I \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{l}} & A & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{r}} & A \otimes I \\ {\scriptstyle i \otimes A}\downarrow & \overset{\lambda}{\Rightarrow} & \| & \overset{\rho}{\Rightarrow} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle A \otimes i} \\ A \otimes A & \xrightarrow[t]{} & A & \xleftarrow[t]{} & A \otimes A \end{array}$$

subject to the following five axioms, the appropriate analogues of (2.2)–(2.6).



Definition 3.2. Let A and B be skew monoidales in $\mathcal{B}$. A *lax monoidal morphism from A to B* consists of a morphism $F\colon A \to B$ together with 2-cells

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{t} & A \\ {\scriptstyle F\otimes F}\downarrow & \overset{\phi}{\Rightarrow} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F} \\ B \otimes B & \xrightarrow[t]{} & B \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} I & \xrightarrow{i} & A \\ \| & \overset{\psi}{\Rightarrow} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F} \\ I & \xrightarrow[i]{} & B \end{array}$$

subject to the following three axioms.



Definition 3.3. Let F and G be lax monoidal morphisms from A to B. A *monoidal transformation from F to G* consists of a 2-cell $\gamma\colon F \Rightarrow G$ satisfying the following two axioms.



Together, skew monoidales, lax monoidal morphisms, and monoidal transformations in $\mathcal{B}$ form a bicategory $\mathrm{SkMon}(\mathcal{B})$. Suppose $(F, \phi_F, \psi_F)\colon A \to B$ and $(G, \phi_G, \psi_G)\colon B \to C$ are lax monoidal morphisms; their composite is GF together with 2-cells



The unnamed isomorphism arises from the pseudo-functoriality of $\otimes : \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$. The identity morphism on a skew monoidale A is $1_A\colon A \to A$ together with

2-cells



The unnamed isomorphisms arise from the pseudo-functoriality of $\otimes : \mathcal{B}\times\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ and coherence cells in the bicategory. If α and β are composable transformations, their composite is $\beta\alpha$. The identity transformation on a lax monoidal morphism F is 1_F. Coherence 2-cells for $\mathrm{SkMon}(\mathcal{B})$ are inherited from $\mathcal{B}$. That is, if F, G, H are composable lax monoidal morphisms then the coherence isomorphisms $(HG)F \cong H(GF)$, $1F \cong F$ and $F \cong F1$ in $\mathcal{B}$ are already monoidal transformations.

3.3 Nerves of monoidal bicategories

As noted above, a monoidal bicategory is a one-object tricategory in the sense of [9]. There are several known constructions of nerves for tricategories; the one of interest to us is essentially Street's ω-categorical nerve [10], restricted from dimension ω to dimension 3, and generalised from strict to weak 3-categories. An explicit description of this nerve is given in [11]; we now reproduce the details for the case of a monoidal bicategory $\mathcal{B}$.

Definition 3.4. The *nerve of* $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathrm{N}\mathcal{B}$, is the simplicial set with:

- A unique 0-simplex $\star$.
- A 1-simplex is an object A_{01} of $\mathcal{B}$; its two faces are necessarily $\star$.
- A 2-simplex is given by objects A_{12}, A_{02}, A_{01} of $\mathcal{B}$ together with a 1-cell

  $$A_{012} \colon A_{12} \otimes A_{01} \to A_{02} \ ;$$

  its three faces are A_{12}, A_{02}, and A_{01}.
- A 3-simplex is given by:
  - Objects A_{ij} for each $0 \leqslant i < j \leqslant 3$;
  - 1-cells $A_{ijk} \colon A_{jk} \otimes A_{ij} \to A_{ik}$ for each $0 \leqslant i < j < k \leqslant 3$;
  - A 2-cell

  $$\begin{array}{ccccc} (A_{23} \otimes A_{12}) \otimes A_{01} & & \xrightarrow{\quad\mathfrak{a}\quad} & & A_{23} \otimes (A_{12} \otimes A_{01}) \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle A_{123}\otimes 1} & & \overset{A_{0123}}{\Longrightarrow} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle 1\otimes A_{012}} \\ A_{13} \otimes A_{01} & \xrightarrow[A_{013}]{} & A_{03} & \xleftarrow[A_{023}]{} & A_{23} \otimes A_{02} \ ; \end{array}$$

  its four faces are A_{123}, A_{023}, A_{013} and A_{012}.

- A 4-simplex is given by:
  - Objects A_{ij} for each $0 \leqslant i < j \leqslant 4$;
  - 1-cells $A_{ijk} \colon A_{jk} \otimes A_{ij} \to A_{ik}$ for each $0 \leqslant i < j < k \leqslant 4$;
  - 2-cells $A_{ijk\ell} \colon A_{ij\ell} \circ (A_{jk\ell} \otimes 1) \Rightarrow A_{ik\ell} \circ (1 \otimes A_{ijk}) \circ \mathfrak{a}$ for each $0 \leqslant i < j < k < \ell \leqslant 4$

  such that the 2-cell equality



  holds. The five faces of this simplex are A_{1234}, A_{0234}, A_{0134}, A_{0124} and A_{0123}.

- Higher-dimensional simplices are determined by the requirement that $\mathrm{N}\mathcal{B}$ be 4-coskeletal.

It remains to describe the degeneracy operators. The degeneracy of the unique 0-simplex is the unit object $I \in \mathcal{B}$; the two degeneracies $s_0(A), s_1(A)$ of a 1-simplex $A \in \mathcal{B}$ are the unit constraints $\mathfrak{r}_\cdot \colon A \otimes I \to A$ and $\mathfrak{l} \colon I \otimes A \to A$; the three degeneracies $s_0(\gamma), s_1(\gamma)$ and $s_2(\gamma)$ of a 2-simplex $\gamma \colon B \otimes C \to A$ are the respective 2-cells



The four degeneracies of a 3-simplex are simply the assertions of certain 2-cell equalities; that these hold is a consequence of the axioms for a monoidal bicategory. Higher degeneracies are determined by coskeletality.

All simplicial identities except $s_0(I) = s_1(I)$ (i.e. $r_I^{\cdot} = \ell_I$) hold automatically. There is however a canonical isomorphism $r_I^{\cdot} \cong \ell_I$, see [12] A.3.1. Thus ℓ_I is a pseudo-inverse for r_I and we can suppose that $r_I^{\cdot} = \ell_I$ without any loss of generality.

Definition 3.5. The *pseudo nerve of* $\mathcal{B}$, called $\mathrm{N_p}\mathcal{B}$, is the same as $\mathrm{N}\mathcal{B}$ with the extra requirement that 3-simplex components A_{0123} be invertible.

Remark 3.6. The assignation $\mathcal{B} \mapsto \mathrm{N}(\mathcal{B})$ sending a monoidal bicategory to its nerve can be extended to a functor $\mathrm{N}\colon \mathrm{MonBicat}_s \to \mathrm{SSet}$, where $\mathrm{MonBicat}_s$ is the category of monoidal bicategories and morphisms which strictly preserve all the structure. When seen in this way, the nerve is a right adjoint. This holds equally well for $\mathrm{N_p}$.

Now that the nerve is well defined, we can properly examine simplicial maps $F\colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathrm{N}\mathcal{B}$. In the lowest few dimensions the data for such an F consist of the following.

- A single object Fc in $\mathcal{B}$.
- Two 1-cells in $\mathcal{B}$

$$Fc \otimes Fc \xrightarrow{Ft} Fc \quad \text{and} \quad I \otimes I \xrightarrow{Fi} Fc$$

  since $F(s_0(\star)) = I$.

Before we can examine the higher data we already notice a problem: while Ft has the right form to provide a multiplication $Fc \otimes Fc \to Fc$, the map $Fi\colon I \otimes I \to Fc$ has the wrong domain to be a unit map for Fc. While this problem is easily resolved using the canonical equivalence of $I \otimes I$ with I, the fact that $I \otimes I$ and I are only *equivalent* and not *isomorphic* means that the correspondence we're investigating cannot be a literal bijection between $\mathrm{sSet}(\mathbb{C}, \mathrm{N}\mathcal{B})$ and the set of skew monoidales in $\mathcal{B}$. It will, however, be surjective up to equivalence when $\mathrm{sSet}(\mathbb{C}, \mathrm{N}\mathcal{B})$ is regarded as a *bicategory*.

3.4 The bicategory $\mathrm{sSet}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbf{N}\mathcal{B})$

In order to construct the bicategory $\mathrm{sSet}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbf{N}\mathcal{B})$, we will first show that $\mathrm{N}\mathcal{B}$ underlies a simplicial bicategory (a bicategory object internal to simplicial sets). Then since the representable $\mathrm{sSet}(\mathbb{C}, \text{-})\colon \mathrm{sSet} \to \mathrm{Set}$ preserves limits, $\mathrm{sSet}(\mathbb{C}, \mathrm{N}\mathcal{B})$ becomes the set of objects of a bicategory.

Observation 3.7. The nerve of a monoidal bicategory $\mathrm{N}\mathcal{B}$ is the object of objects of a bicategory internal to sSet

$$\mathrm{N}(\mathcal{B} \Downarrow \mathcal{B}) \rightrightarrows \mathrm{N}(\mathcal{B} \downarrow \mathcal{B}) \rightrightarrows \mathrm{N}(\mathcal{B}) \tag{3.2}$$

where $(\mathcal{B} \downarrow \mathcal{B})$ and $(\mathcal{B} \Downarrow \mathcal{B})$ are monoidal bicategories defined below. We call this internal bicategory $\mathbf{N}\mathcal{B}$; see Table 1 for an explicit description of 0, 1 and 2-cells in the lowest few dimensions. We construct it by first building a bicategory

$$(\mathcal{B} \Downarrow \mathcal{B}) \rightrightarrows (\mathcal{B} \downarrow \mathcal{B}) \rightrightarrows (\mathcal{B})$$

internal to $\mathrm{MonBicat}_s$ and then use the fact that N preserves limits (because it is a right adjoint, Remark 3.6).

The *oplax-comma monoidal bicategory* $(\mathcal{B} \downarrow \mathcal{B})$ is defined as follows. Its objects are arrows $h\colon A \to B$. A morphism from h to $h'\colon A' \to B'$ is a triple (f_A, f_B, f_h), where $f_A\colon A \to A'$, $f_B\colon B \to B'$, and where

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f_A} & A' \\ {\scriptstyle h}\downarrow & \overset{f_h}{\Rightarrow} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h'} \\ B & \xrightarrow[f_B]{} & B' \end{array} \tag{3.3}$$

A 2-cell from (f_A, f_B, f_h) to (g_A, g_B, g_h) is a pair (α_A, α_B), where $\alpha_A\colon f_A \Rightarrow g_A$ and $\alpha_B\colon f_B \Rightarrow g_B$ satisfy



. (3.4)

Composition and identities are defined in the obvious way. The tensor for the monoidal structure is defined on 0 and 2-cells by tensoring the underlying data in $\mathcal{B}$. On 1-cells, we need $(f_A, f_B, f_h) \otimes (p_A, p_B, p_h) = (f_A \otimes p_A, f_B \otimes p_B, \varphi_0 \circ (f_h \otimes p_h) \circ \varphi_1)$ where φ_0 and φ_1 are appropriate coherence maps associated to $\otimes\colon \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$.

The monoidal bicategory $(\mathcal{B} \Downarrow \mathcal{B})$ is defined as follows. Its objects are 2-cells $\sigma\colon h \Rightarrow k\colon A \to B$ in $\mathcal{B}$. A morphism from σ to $\sigma'\colon h' \Rightarrow k'\colon A' \to B'$ is a 4-tuple (f_A, f_B, f_h, f_k) where (f_A, f_B, f_h) and (f_A, f_B, f_k) take the same form as (3.3) and satisfy



.

A 2-cell $(f_A, f_B, f_h, f_k) \Rightarrow (g_A, g_B, g_h, g_k)$ is a pair (α_A, α_B), $\alpha_A\colon f_A \Rightarrow g_A$, $\alpha_B\colon f_B \Rightarrow g_B$ satisfying (3.4) for both h and k. Composition and identities are defined in the obvious way. Again, the tensor for the monoidal structure is defined on 0 and 2-cells by tensoring the underlying data in $\mathcal{B}$. On 1-cells, we need $(f_A, f_B, f_h, f_k) \otimes (p_A, p_B, p_h, p_k) = (f_A \otimes p_A, f_B \otimes p_B, \varphi_0 \circ (f_h \otimes p_h) \circ \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \circ (f_h \otimes p_h) \circ \varphi_3)$ where each φ_i is an appropriate coherence map associated to $\otimes\colon \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$.

The internal bicategory structure

$$(\mathcal{B} \Downarrow \mathcal{B}) \rightrightarrows (\mathcal{B} \downarrow \mathcal{B}) \rightrightarrows (\mathcal{B})$$

is given by first defining composition of 1-cells (3.3) to be 'down-the-page'. Domain maps, codomain maps, identities and 2-cell composition follow easily from there.

dim.	$\mathrm{N}(\mathcal{B})$	$\mathrm{N}(\mathcal{B}\downarrow\mathcal{B})$	$\mathrm{N}(\mathcal{B}\Downarrow\mathcal{B})$
0	$\star$	$\star$	$\star$
1	A		
2			
3			
4	See (3.4) on p.11		
≥ 5			

Table 1: 0, 1 and 2-cells in the lowest few dimensions of the simplicial bicategory $\mathbf{N}\mathcal{B}$. Grey entries are uniquely determined by coskeletality conditions, see Observation 3.9. The 2-cells on the front face of the pentagonal prism have been omitted; they can easily be filled in by the reader. Unlabelled isomorphisms are composites of basic coherence data in $\mathcal{B}$. The 2-cell $p\xi$ is schematic for the obvious pasting of ξ with p and coherence isomorphisms.

Observation 3.8. For any simplicial set X, $\mathrm{sSet}(X, \mathbf{N}\mathcal{B})$ is a bicategory. To see this, note that the representable $\mathrm{sSet}(X, \text{-})\colon \mathrm{sSet} \to \mathrm{Set}$ preserves limits. Now if $\mathbf{Y}$ is a bicategory

$$Y_2 \rightrightarrows Y_1 \rightrightarrows Y_0$$

internal to sSet, the 2-globular set

$$\mathrm{sSet}(X, Y_2) \rightrightarrows \mathrm{sSet}(X, Y_1) \rightrightarrows \mathrm{sSet}(X, Y_0)$$

is a bicategory which we call $\mathrm{sSet}(X, \mathbf{Y})$.

The following observation is useful for understanding the nature of 0,1 and 2-cells in $\mathrm{sSet}(X, \mathbf{N}\mathcal{B})$.

Observation 3.9. Since $\mathrm{N}(\mathcal{B})$ is 4-coskeletal, its simplices at dimension 5 and above are uniquely determined by their boundary.

This is also true for $\mathrm{N}(\mathcal{B} \downarrow \mathcal{B})$, but it has a stronger property: a 4-simplex in $\mathrm{N}(\mathcal{B} \downarrow \mathcal{B})$ is uniquely determined by its boundary 3-simplices and its source and target 4-simplices in $\mathrm{N}(\mathcal{B})$.

The simplicial set $\mathrm{N}(\mathcal{B} \Downarrow \mathcal{B})$ has both of these properties and an even stronger one: each 3-simplex is uniquely determined by its boundary 2-simplices and its source and target 3-simplices in $\mathrm{N}(\mathcal{B} \downarrow \mathcal{B})$. This means that the essential data of these simplicial sets are contained in their lowest $4, 3, 2$ dimensions respectively. In particular this means that a 0-cell in $\mathrm{sSet}(X, \mathbf{N}\mathcal{B})$, a map $X \to \mathrm{N}(\mathcal{B})$, is completely determined by its behaviour up to dimension 4. A 1-cell in $\mathrm{sSet}(X, \mathbf{N}\mathcal{B})$, a map $X \to \mathrm{N}(\mathcal{B} \downarrow \mathcal{B})$, is completely determined by its behaviour up to dimension 3 and its source and target. And a 2-cell in $\mathrm{sSet}(X, \mathbf{N}\mathcal{B})$, a map $X \to \mathrm{N}(\mathcal{B} \Downarrow \mathcal{B})$, is completely determined by its behaviour up to dimension 2 and its source and target.

In order to be more rigorous we make the following definition. Let $F\colon X \to Y$ be a map of simplicial sets. We say that F *is m-coskeletal* or that X *is m-coskeletal over Y* when F has the unique right-lifting property with respect to boundary inclusions $\delta\Delta_n \to \Delta_n$ for all $n > m$. That is, for all u, v as below there exists a unique k making the both triangles commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial\Delta_n & \xrightarrow{u} & X \\ \downarrow & \overset{k}{\nearrow} & \downarrow F \\ \Delta_n & \xrightarrow[v]{} & Y \end{array} .$$

A simplicial set X is m-coskeletal precisely when it is m-coskeletal over $\mathbb{1}$. We can now restate the observation as:

- $\mathrm{N}(\mathcal{B})$ is 4-coskeletal;
- $\mathrm{N}(\mathcal{B} \downarrow \mathcal{B})$ is 3-coskeletal over $\mathrm{N}\mathcal{B} \times \mathrm{N}\mathcal{B}$ via $(\mathrm{N}s, \mathrm{N}t)$; and

- $\mathrm{N}(\mathcal{B} \Downarrow \mathcal{B})$ is 2-coskeletal over $\mathrm{N}(\mathcal{B} \downarrow \mathcal{B}) \times_{(\mathrm{N}s, \mathrm{N}t)} \mathrm{N}(\mathcal{B} \downarrow \mathcal{B})$ via $(\mathrm{N}s, \mathrm{N}t)$.

To justify our observation, note the following. The nerve functor $\mathrm{N}\colon \mathrm{MonBicat}_s \to \mathrm{sSet}$ has the property that it sends every locally faithful functor to a 3-coskeletal map and every locally fully faithful functor to a 2-coskeletal map. The maps $(s,t)\colon (\mathcal{B} \downarrow \mathcal{B}) \to \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ and $(s,t)\colon (\mathcal{B} \Downarrow \mathcal{B}) \to (\mathcal{B} \downarrow \mathcal{B}) \times_{(s,t)} (\mathcal{B} \downarrow \mathcal{B})$ are locally faithful and locally fully faithful respectively.

4 Classifying skew monoidales

In this section we show that simplicial maps from $\mathbb{C}$ to $\mathrm{N}\mathcal{B}$ are skew monoidales in $\mathcal{B}$ in the sense that there is a biequivalence

$$\mathrm{sSet}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbf{N}\mathcal{B}) \simeq \mathrm{SkMon}(\mathcal{B}) \ .$$

Before we formally construct this biequivalence let us examine the data of a simplicial map $F\colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathrm{N}\mathcal{B}$ and highlight the difficulties that arise. The data for such an F consist of the following:

- A single object A in $\mathcal{B}$
- Two 1-cells in $\mathcal{B}$

$$A \otimes A \xrightarrow{\ t\ } A \quad \text{and} \quad I \otimes I \xrightarrow{\ i\ } A$$

- Four 2-cells

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
(A \otimes A) \otimes A & & \xrightarrow{\ \mathfrak{a}\ } & & A \otimes (A \otimes A) \\
{\scriptstyle t \otimes A}\downarrow & & \Rightarrow_{a} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle A \otimes t} \\
A \otimes A & \xrightarrow[t]{} & A & \xleftarrow[t]{} & A \otimes A
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
(A \otimes I) \otimes I & & \xrightarrow{\ \mathfrak{a}\ } & & A \otimes (I \otimes I) \\
{\scriptstyle \mathfrak{r}\cdot \otimes 1}\downarrow & & \Rightarrow_{r} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle A \otimes i} \\
A \otimes I & \xrightarrow[\mathfrak{r}\cdot]{} & A & \xleftarrow[t]{} & A \otimes A
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
(I \otimes I) \otimes A & & \xrightarrow{\ \mathfrak{a}\ } & & I \otimes (I \otimes A) \\
{\scriptstyle i \otimes 1}\downarrow & & \Rightarrow_{\ell} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1 \otimes \mathfrak{l}} \\
A \otimes A & \xrightarrow[t]{} & A & \xleftarrow[\mathfrak{l}]{} & I \otimes A
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
(I \otimes I) \otimes I & & \xrightarrow{\ \mathfrak{a}\ } & & I \otimes (I \otimes I) \\
{\scriptstyle i \otimes 1}\downarrow & & \Rightarrow_{k} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1 \otimes i} \\
A \otimes I & \xrightarrow[\mathfrak{r}\cdot]{} & A & \xleftarrow[\mathfrak{l}]{} & I \otimes A
\end{array}$$

- And nine equalities



(4.1)



(4.2)



(4.3)



(4.4)



(4.5)



(4.6)



(4.7)



(4.8)



(4.9)

The similarity with skew monoidales in $\mathcal{B}$ is strong but there are some problems.

As previously mentioned, the unit map for a skew monoidale is of the form $I \to A$ but i is a map $I \otimes I \to A$. Similarly, the left and right unit constraints for a skew monoidale have different domains and codomains than the r and ℓ shown here. These differences amount to the fact that $I \otimes I$ does not equal I; we will deal with this momentarily. The second problem is that there is an extra coherence 2-cell k. Fortunately, the equality in (4.5) together with the monoidal bicategory axioms force k to be equal to the pasting



(4.10)

and thus completely specified by the coherence data of $\mathcal{B}$. The third problem is that there are too many axioms! Fortunately, (4.8) and (4.9) hold trivially in any monoidal bicategory. The remaining six equalities are precisely the five axioms we require (axioms (4.6) and (4.7) are equivalent).

We have yet to resolve the first problem: i, ℓ, r have the wrong shape. This is resolved by constructing a new monoidal bicategory $\mathcal{B}^*$ which is like $\mathcal{B}$, but with unit object $I \otimes I$ and appropriately modified coherence data. Then simplicial maps from $\mathbb{C}$ to $\mathrm{N}\mathcal{B}$ are exactly skew monoidales in $\mathcal{B}^*$: there is an isomorphism

of bicategories

$$\mathrm{sSet}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbf{N}\mathcal{B}) \cong \mathrm{SkMon}(\mathcal{B}^*) \ .$$

Then since $\mathcal{B}^* \simeq \mathcal{B}$ we know that $\mathrm{SkMon}(\mathcal{B}^*) \simeq \mathrm{SkMon}(\mathcal{B})$ and we have the required correspondence.

Definition 4.1. Suppose $\mathcal{B}$ is a monoidal bicategory $\mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{B}, \otimes, I, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{r}, \pi, \mu, \sigma, \tau)$. Let $\mathcal{B}^*$ be the monoidal bicategory $(\mathcal{B}, \otimes, I \otimes I, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{l}^*, \mathfrak{r}^*, \pi, \mu^*, \sigma^*, \tau^*)$ where the new data are defined as follows. The new pseudo-natural tranformations $\mathfrak{l}^*$ and $\mathfrak{r}^*$ have 1-cell components

$$\mathfrak{l}^*_A = \ (I \otimes I) \otimes A \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{l} \otimes A} I \otimes A \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{l}} A$$

and

$$\mathfrak{r}^*_A = \ A \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{r}} A \otimes I \xrightarrow{A \otimes \mathfrak{r}} A \otimes (I \otimes I) \ .$$

Their 2-cell components can easily be deduced. The modifications μ^*, σ^* and τ^* have 2-cell components

$\mu^*_{AB} =$



$\sigma^*_{BC} =$



$\tau^*_{AB} =$



Unlabelled isomorphisms come from pseudo-naturality of $\mathfrak{a}$. It is not hard to check that this data satisfies the required axioms and that $\mathcal{B} \simeq \mathcal{B}^*$ as monoidal bicategories.

Theorem I. *For all monoidal bicategories $\mathcal{B}$ there is an isomorphism of bicategories*

$$\mathrm{sSet}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbf{N}\mathcal{B}) \cong \mathrm{SkMon}(\mathcal{B}^*) \ .$$

Proof. Suppose that $F\colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathrm{N}\mathcal{B}$. Let us compare the image of F with the data for a skew monoidale in $\mathcal{B}^*$ and demonstrate a correspondence between the two. At dimensions one and two these data are exactly equal: a single object A, a tensor map t and a unit map $i\colon I \otimes I \to A$. At dimension two, the 2-cell a has the same form as the associativity constraint α for a skew-monoidale; whilst, as observed above, k is necessarily of the form (4.10). On the other hand, the data ℓ and r give rise to left and right unit constraints λ and ρ upon forming the composites



and



.

The assignments $\ell \mapsto \lambda$ and $r \mapsto \rho$ are in fact bijective, the former since it is given by composing with an invertible 2-cell, and the latter since it is given by composition with an invertible 2-cell followed by transposition under adjunction. Thus the 2-dimensional data of F and of a skew monoidale in $\mathcal{B}^*$ are in bijective correspondence.

Finally, after some calculation we find that, with respect to the α, λ and ρ defined above, equations (4.1), (4.2), (4.3), (4.4), (4.6) and (4.7) express precisely the five axioms for a skew monoidale in $\mathcal{B}$; equation (4.5) specifies Fk and nothing more; whilst equations (4.8) and (4.9) are both equalities which follow using only the axioms for a monoidal bicategory. Thus, every simplicial map $F\colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathrm{N}\mathcal{B}$ determines a skew monoidale in $\mathcal{B}^*$ and this assignment is bijective.

Suppose next that $\gamma\colon F \to G$ is a 1-cell in $\mathrm{sSet}(\mathbb{C}, \mathrm{N}\mathcal{B})$. By Remark 3.9, γ is determined by F and G and the data up to dimension 3 of a simplicial map γ satisfying

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathrm{N}(\mathcal{B} \downarrow \mathcal{B}) \\ & \overset{\gamma}{\nearrow} & \big\downarrow {\scriptstyle \mathrm{N}(s,t)} \\ \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow[(F,G)]{} & \mathrm{N}(\mathcal{B}) \times \mathrm{N}(\mathcal{B}) \end{array} .$$

This consists of:

- A single arrow $\gamma_c\colon Fc \to Gc$.
- Two 2-cells

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{Ft} & A \\ {\scriptstyle \gamma_c \otimes \gamma_c}\big\downarrow & \overset{\gamma_t}{\Rightarrow} & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_c} \\ B \otimes B & \xrightarrow[Gt]{} & B \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} I \otimes I & \xrightarrow{Fi} & A \\ {\scriptstyle 1 \otimes 1}\big\downarrow & \overset{\gamma_i}{\Rightarrow} & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_c} \\ I \otimes I & \xrightarrow[Gi]{} & B \end{array}$$

  where $A = Fc$ and $B = Gc$.

- Four equations



where unlabelled isomorphisms come from pseudo-naturality of $\mathfrak{l}$ and $\mathfrak{r}^\bullet$. Displaying these isomorphisms explicitly (rather than using string-crossings) highlights the uniformity of the axioms.

We now compare the data for γ with the data for a lax monoidal morphism in $\mathcal{B}^*$. At dimension one these data are exactly equal: a single arrow $\gamma_c \colon A \to B$. At dimension two, the 2-cell γ_t has the same form as the tensor constraint ϕ for a lax monoidal morphism; on the other hand, γ_i gives rise to unit constraint ψ upon forming the composite

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I \otimes I & \xrightarrow{Fi} & A \\ {\scriptstyle 1}\big(\cong {\scriptstyle 1\otimes 1} & \overset{\gamma_i}{\Rightarrow} & \big\downarrow {\scriptstyle \gamma_c} \\ I \otimes I & \xrightarrow[Gi]{} & B \end{array} .$$

The assignment $\gamma_i \mapsto \psi$ is bijective since it is just precomposition with an invertible 2-cell.

Finally, after some calculation we find that, with respect to the ϕ and ψ defined above, equations (4.11), (4.12) and (4.13) express precisely the three axioms for a lax monoidal morphism in $\mathcal{B}$; equation (4.14) is an equality which follows using only the axioms for a monoidal bicategory. Thus, every 1-cell $\gamma\colon F \to G$ in $\mathrm{sSet}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbf{N}\mathcal{B})$ determines a lax monoidal morphism in $\mathcal{B}^*$ and this assignment is bijective.

Suppose finally that $\Gamma\colon \gamma \Rightarrow \delta$ is a 2-cell in $\mathrm{sSet}(\mathbb{C}, \mathrm{N}\mathcal{B})$. By Remark 3.9, Γ is determined by γ and δ and the data up to dimension 2 of a simplicial map Γ satisfying



This consists of:

- A single 2-cell $\Gamma_c\colon \gamma_c \Rightarrow \delta_c$.
- Two equations



This is exactly the data of a monoidal transformation in $\mathcal{B}^*$: a single 2-cell satisfying exactly the required axioms. Thus, every 2-cell $\Gamma\colon \gamma \Rightarrow \delta$ in $\mathrm{sSet}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbf{N}\mathcal{B})$ determines a monoidal transformation in $\mathcal{B}^*$ and the assignment is bijective.

□

The following result follows directly.

Theorem II. *For all monoidal bicategories $\mathcal{B}$ there is a biequivalence*

$$\mathrm{sSet}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbf{N}\mathcal{B}) \simeq \mathrm{SkMon}(\mathcal{B}) \ .$$

Proof. From the biequivalence $\mathcal{B}^* \simeq \mathcal{B}$ we can show that $\mathrm{SkMon}(\mathcal{B}^*) \simeq \mathrm{SkMon}(\mathcal{B})$. This, together with Theorem I, gives the desired result. □

Remark 4.2 (Results for dual notions)**.** The biequivalence in Theorem II applies to the bicategory of skew monoidales, lax monoidal morphisms and monoidal transformations. The result is also true if we replace skew monoidales with opskew monoidales or ordinary monoidales. We only need to change our definition of 3-simplices in N$\mathcal{B}$ by reversing the direction of the 2-cells or making them invertible. Similarly, the result holds if we replace lax monoidal morphisms with oplax monoidal morphisms or monoidal morphisms. We only need to change our definition of 1-cells in $(\mathcal{B} \downarrow \mathcal{B})$ by reversing the direction of the 2-cells or making them invertible.

We conclude this section with some remarks on the connection between monoids and lax monoidal functors. It well known that, for a monoidal category $\mathcal{V}$, there is an equivalence

$$\mathrm{MonCat}_{\mathrm{lax}}(\mathbb{1}, \mathcal{V}) \simeq \mathrm{Mon}(\mathcal{V})$$

between lax monoidal functors $\mathbb{1} \to \mathcal{V}$ and monoids internal to $\mathcal{V}$. A similar result holds for skew monoidales internal to a monoidal bicategory.

Definition 4.3. Suppose that $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ are monoidal bicategories. A *lax monoidal homomorphism from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{E}$* is a homomorphism $F\colon \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{E}$ on the underlying bicategories together with pseudo-natural families of maps

$$\phi_{AB}\colon FA \otimes FB \to F(A \otimes B) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_I\colon I \to FI$$

and modifications ω, γ, δ with (non-invertible) components

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(FA \otimes FB) \otimes FC & \xrightarrow{a} & FA \otimes (FB \otimes FC) \\
{\scriptstyle \phi \otimes FC}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle FA \otimes \phi} \\
F(A \otimes B) \otimes FC & \overset{\omega_{ABC}}{\Rightarrow} & FA \otimes F(B \otimes C) \\
{\scriptstyle \phi}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi} \\
F((A \otimes B) \otimes C) & \xrightarrow[Fa]{} & F(A \otimes (B \otimes C))
\end{array} \tag{4.17}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
I \otimes FA & \xrightarrow{\phi \otimes FA} & FI \otimes FA \\
& \overset{\gamma_A}{\Rightarrow} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi} \\
{\scriptstyle l}\searrow & & F(IA) \\
& & \downarrow{\scriptstyle Fl} \\
& & FA
\end{array} \tag{4.18}$$



(4.19)

satisfying five axioms corresponding directly to those for skew-monoidal categories.

By giving appropriate definitions of monoidal transformation and monoidal modification one can form a bicategory $\mathrm{MonBicat}_{\mathrm{lax}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E})$ whose objects are lax monoidal homomorphisms. We state the following without proof.

Proposition 4.4. For any monoidal bicategory $\mathcal{B}$, there is a biequivalence

$$\mathrm{MonBicat}_{\mathrm{lax}}(\mathbb{1}, \mathcal{B}) \simeq \mathrm{SkMon}(\mathcal{B}) \ .$$

This result is not unexpected and easy to verify, but we do need to take care that we have defined lax monoidal homomorphisms properly. It is also relevant in light of the following remark.

Remark 4.5. Suppose that $\mathcal{V}$ is a monoidal category. There is an equivalence

$$\mathrm{MonCat}_{\mathrm{lax}}(\mathbb{1}, \mathcal{V}) \simeq \mathrm{Mon}(\mathcal{V})$$

mentioned above, between lax monoidal functors from $\mathbb{1}$ to $\mathcal{V}$ and monoids internal to $\mathcal{V}$. The data for a lax monoidal functor consists of a functor $F\colon \mathbb{1} \to \mathcal{V}$ together with two natural families of maps $\phi_1 \colon 1 \to F1$ and $\phi_{11} \colon F1 \otimes F1 \to F1$ satisfying certain axioms. This is precisely an object $F1$ in $\mathcal{V}$ with a monoid structure and the correspondence is easily extended to an equivalence of categories.

There is a second equivalence

$$\mathrm{MonCat}_{\mathrm{nlax}}(\mathbb{2}, \mathcal{V}) \simeq \mathrm{MonCat}_{\mathrm{lax}}(\mathbb{1}, \mathcal{V})$$

between normal lax functors from $\mathbb{2}$ to $\mathcal{V}$ and lax functors from $\mathbb{1}$ to $\mathcal{V}$. It exists as part of an adjunction where $\mathbb{2}$ is the result of taking $\mathbb{1}$ and freely adding a new unit object together with a map to the old unit object.

There is a third equivalence

$$\mathrm{sSet}(\mathrm{N}\mathbb{2}, \mathrm{N}\mathcal{V}) \simeq \mathrm{MonCat}_{\mathrm{nlax}}(\mathbb{2}, \mathcal{V})$$

obtained by observing that the nerve functor for monoidal categories is fully-faithful on normal lax functors.

Together, these form a sequence

$$\mathrm{sSet}(\mathrm{N}\mathbb{2}, \mathrm{N}\mathcal{V}) \simeq \mathrm{MonCat}_{\mathrm{nlax}}(\mathbb{2}, \mathcal{V}) \simeq \mathrm{MonCat}_{\mathrm{lax}}(\mathbb{1}, \mathcal{V}) \simeq \mathrm{Mon}(\mathcal{V}) \qquad (4.20)$$

Since $\mathbb{C}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{N}\mathbb{2}$, this sequence demonstrates a correspondence between simplicial maps $\mathbb{C} \to \mathrm{N}\mathcal{V}$ and monoids internal to $\mathcal{V}$.

We fully expect that this sequence of equivalences can be generalised to the domain of monoidal bicategories and skew monoidales. Such a generalisation would require a suitable notion of normal lax functor for monoidal bicategories together with a proof that the nerve construction is essentially fully faithful on such functors. That work would lead us too far from our current goal and so we leave it for another time.

5 Towards skew-monoidal bicategories

In this section we give a definition of skew-monoidal bicategory by looking at simplicial maps from $\mathbb{C}$ into a suitably defined nerve of Bicat. First, we describe a nerve of Bicat by informally regarding it as a monoidal tricategory, we then examine simplicial maps from $\mathbb{C}$ into this nerve. We find that a classification result for monoidal bicategories holds almost immediately, but the corresponding result for skew-monoidal bicategories requires an extra condition on the simplicial maps in question. We then obtain a definition of skew-monoidal bicategory and find that skew-monoidal bicategories with invertible coherence data are precisely monoidal bicategories in the usual sense. The data for a skew-monoidal bicategory consists of a single bicategory, tensor and unit maps, three coherence transformations, five coherence modifications and eight axioms. This means one new coherence modification and five new axioms.

5.1 A nerve of Bicat

Let Bicat be the tricategory of bicategories, homomorphisms, pseudo-natural transformations and modifications. Informally regarding it as a monoidal tricategory, we take the nerve of Bicat to be the simplicial set N(Bicat) defined as follows:

- There is a unique 0-simplex $\star$.
- A 1-simplex is a bicategory
$$\mathcal{B}_{01} \ ;$$
its two faces are necessarily $\star$.
- A 2-simplex is given by bicategories $\mathcal{B}_{12}, \mathcal{B}_{02}, \mathcal{B}_{01}$ together with a pseudofunctor
$$F_{012} \colon \mathcal{B}_{12} \times \mathcal{B}_{01} \to \mathcal{B}_{02} \ ;$$
its three faces are $\mathcal{B}_{12}$, $\mathcal{B}_{02}$, and $\mathcal{B}_{01}$.
- A 3-simplex is given by:
  - Objects $\mathcal{B}_{ij}$ for each $0 \leqslant i < j \leqslant 3$;
  - functors $F_{ijk} \colon \mathcal{B}_{jk} \times \mathcal{B}_{ij} \to \mathcal{B}_{ik}$ for each $0 \leqslant i < j < k \leqslant 3$;

– a pseudo-natural transformation γ_{0123} whose component at a, b, c is

$$F_{013}(F_{123}(a,b),c) \xrightarrow{\gamma_{0123}} F_{023}(a,F_{012}(b,c)) \ ;$$

its four faces are F_{123}, F_{023}, F_{013} and F_{012}.

- A 4-simplex is given by:
  - Objects $\mathcal{B}_{ij}$ for each $0 \leqslant i < j \leqslant 4$;
  - functors $F_{ijk} \colon \mathcal{B}_{jk} \times \mathcal{B}_{ij} \to \mathcal{B}_{ik}$ for each $0 \leqslant i < j < k \leqslant 4$;
  - transformations $\gamma_{ijk\ell} \colon F_{ij\ell} \circ (F_{jk\ell} \times 1) \Rightarrow F_{ik\ell} \circ (1 \times F_{ijk})$ for each $0 \leqslant i < j < k < \ell \leqslant 4$
  - a modification Γ_{01234} whose component at a, b, c, d is

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & F_{024}(F_{012}(a,b),F_{234}(c,d)) & \\
{\scriptstyle \gamma_{0234}}\nearrow & & \searrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_{0124}} \\
F_{024}(F_{023}(F_{012}(a,b),c),d) & \Downarrow \Gamma_{01234} & F_{014}(a,F_{124}(b,F_{234}(c,d))) \\
{\scriptstyle F_{024}(\gamma_{0123},d)}\downarrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle F_{014}(a,\gamma_{1234})} \\
F_{024}(F_{013}(a,F_{123}(b,c)),d) & \xrightarrow[\gamma_{0134}]{} & F_{014}(a,F_{134}(F_{123}(b,c),d))
\end{array} \ ;$$

  its five faces are γ_{1234}, γ_{0234}, γ_{0134}, γ_{0124}, and γ_{0123}.

- A 5-simplex is given by six modifications $\Gamma_{ijk\ell m}$ for $0 \leqslant i < j < k < \ell <$

$m \leqslant 5$ as above satisfying the following equality for each a, b, c, d, e.



This one of the coherence axioms for a tricategory. It is the associahedron

of dimension three, sometimes called the Stasheff polytope K_5 or the non-abelian 4-cocycle condition [9].

The three unnamed isomorphisms come from the pseudo-naturality of 3-simplices $\gamma_{ijk\ell}$. We have abbreviated each $F_{ijk}(F_{\dots}(F_{\dots}(ab)c)d)e$ to $(((ab)c)d)e$. We have also chosen not to display coherence isomorphisms associated to each F_{ijk}. The six faces of this simplex are Γ_{12345}, Γ_{02345}, Γ_{01345}, Γ_{01245}, Γ_{01235}, and Γ_{01234}.

- Higher-dimensional simplices are determined by the requirement that N(Bicat) be 5-coskeletal.

We still need to describe the degenerate simplices.

- At dimension zero, $s_0(\star) = 1$, the terminal bicategory.
- At dimension one, $s_0(\mathcal{B}_{01})\colon 1 \times \mathcal{B}_{01} \to \mathcal{B}_{01}$ and $s_1(\mathcal{B}_{01})\colon \mathcal{B}_{01} \times 1 \to \mathcal{B}_{01}$ are the obvious projections.
- Each $s_j(F_{012}\colon \mathcal{B}_{12} \times \mathcal{B}_{01} \to \mathcal{B}_{02})$ for $j = 0, 1, 2$ is a pseudo-natural transformation whose 1-cell components are identities and 2-cell components are coherence data.
- At dimension four, $s_0(\gamma_{0123}\colon F_{013}(F_{123}(a,b),c) \to F_{023}(a, F_{012}(b,c)))$ is the unique composite of coherence 2-cells filling



and the other three are similarly defined.

- We won't display degenerate 5-simplices; they can be computed using the simplicial identities. The equalities of pastings they describe are guaranteed to hold by coherence for bicategories.

In all of the above we have chosen to use pseudo-functors and pseudo-natural transformations rather than their lax and oplax cousins. Those other variations might work just as well, but we haven't investigated them in any detail.

Definition 5.1. The *pseudo nerve of* Bicat, called $\mathrm{N_p}$Bicat, is the same as NBicat with the extra requirement that each γ_{0123} be an equivalence and each Γ_{01234} an isomorphism.

5.2 Skew-monoidal bicategories

In Section 4 we showed that a simplicial map $F\colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathrm{N}\mathcal{B}$ was precisely a skew monoidale in a monoidal bicategory $\mathcal{B}$. In that case, F actually determined an extra datum k and three extra axioms (4.5) (4.8) (4.9). Fortunately, (4.5) forced k to be equal to a pasting of coherence maps already found in $\mathcal{B}$, and (4.8) and (4.9) were already true in any monoidal bicategory. When we look at simplicial maps $\mathbb{C}$ into N(Bicat) or $\mathrm{N_p}$(Bicat) we once again find more data and axioms than we might expect. Our approach to this data will depend on whether we want to describe monoidal bicategories, or skew-monoidal bicategories.

If our goal is to classify monoidal bicategories, we should consider simplicial maps from $\mathbb{C}$ into N_pBicat. In this case, because the maps in question are invertible, most of this data is over-specified and the essential extra data consists of a single equivalence $Fk\colon I \to I$ and a single isomorphism $F\delta\colon \mathrm{id}_I \Rightarrow Fk$ satisying $F\delta Fk = FkF\delta$. Without presenting every detail: if we consider the set of all monoidal bicategories with this extra data, and also describe a suitable notion of equivalence for them, every such structure is equivalent to one where Fk and $F\delta$ are trivial. Thus we have, up to equivalence, monoidal bicategories.

If our goal is to classify skew-monoidal bicategories, we should consider simplicial maps from $\mathbb{C}$ into NBicat. Unfortunately, we cannot use the same trick as before to eliminate this extra information because the unexpected axioms do not force the unexpected data to be trivial, even up to equivalence. We don't yet understand what role these 'extra' coherence maps might play and for the moment ask that each $F\colon \mathbb{C} \to$ NBicat send the offending simplices in $\mathbb{C}$ to pastings of coherence data in Bicat. Specifically, k is mapped to the identity pseudo-natural transformation on the unit Fi and $A9$ is mapped to the unique composite of coherence data with the corresponding boundary.

With this added condition in place, we define skew-monoidal bicategories by examining the data of simplicial maps $\mathbb{C} \to$ N(Bicat). For convenience we have used the same notation as [9].

Definition 5.2. A *skew-monoidal bicategory* consists of:

- A bicategory $\mathcal{M}$.
- Two homomorphisms

$$\otimes\colon \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M} \quad \text{and} \quad I\colon 1 \to \mathcal{M}.$$

- Three pseudo-natural transformations

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\otimes\times 1} & \mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{M} \\ {\scriptstyle 1\times\otimes}\downarrow & \Downarrow \mathfrak{a} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \otimes} \\ \mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow[\otimes]{} & \mathcal{M} \end{array}$$



- Five modifications with components:



- All subject to 8 axioms. Unnamed isomorphisms are either pseudo-naturality data or composites of coherence data in $\mathcal{M}$. Empty cells are actual equalities.


(((AB)C)D)E
((AB)C)(DE)
(A(BC))(DE)
(AB)(C(DE))
((A(BC))D)E
A((BC)(DE))
A(B(C(DE)))
(A((BC)D))E
A(((BC)D)E)
A(B((CD)E))
(A(B(CD)))E
A((B(CD))E)
⇓ πDE
⇓ πBC
⇓ Aπ
≅
≅
(((AB)C)D)E
((AB)C)(DE)
(AB)(C(DE))
((A(BC))D)E
⇓ πE((AB)(CD))E
(AB)((CD)E)
A(B(C(DE)))
(A((BC)D))E
A(B((CD)E))
(A(B(CD)))E
A((B(CD))E)
⇓ π
⇓ π

(5.1)


id
A(DE)
A(I(DE))
A(DE)
AI
Aλ
A(IE)
𝔞
𝔯(DE)
μ
𝔞
A𝔞
𝔞
(AD)E
≅
(AI)(DE)
A(I(DE))
≅
(AD)E
(𝔯D)E
𝔞
π
𝔞
(AI)E
((AI)D)E
𝔞E
(A(ID))E
||
id
A(DE)
A(DE)
𝔞
≅
𝔞
(AD)E
id
(AD)E
μE
(𝔯D)E
(AI)E
((AI)D)E
𝔞E
(A(ID))E

(5.2)


(AB)E
id
≅
𝔞
(AB)E
𝔞
A(BE)
id
A(BE)
A(𝔯E)
(A𝔯)E
≅
⇓Aμ
A(B𝔩)
(A(BI))E
𝔞
A((BI)E)
A𝔞
A(B(IE))
||
(AB)E
id
⇓μ
(AB)𝔩
𝔞
(AB)E
𝔯E
(A(BI))E
𝔞
A((BI)E)
≅
A(BE)
⇓ρE
𝔞E
⇓π
𝔞
(A𝔯)E
A(B𝔩)
(A(BI))E
𝔞
A((BI)E)
A𝔞
A(B(IE))

(5.3)


II
id
II
𝔯
𝔯I
⇓μ
I𝔩
I
≅
(II)I
𝔞
I(II)
⇓ρ
⇓Iσ
𝔯
𝔯
I𝔯
id
II

||

(5.4)


II
id
II
𝔯
I
𝔯
id
II


((AB)C)I
(AB)(CI)
(AB)C
A(B(CI))
A(BC)
(AB)C
((AB)C)I
(AB)(CI)
(A(BC))I
A((BC)I)
A(B(CI))
A(BC)

(5.5)


(II)I
I(II)
II
II
I
(II)I
I(II)
II
II
I

(5.6)



(5.7)



(5.8)

Remark 5.3. When $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{r}$ are equivalences and $\pi, \mu, \rho, \lambda, \sigma$ are isomorphisms this definition becomes equivalent to the usual definition of monoidal bicategory.

Beginning with a skew-monoidal bicategory with invertible coherence maps, just forget σ and axioms (5.4)–(5.8) and we have exactly a monoidal bicategory. Conversely, given a monoidal bicategory we can construct σ according to axiom (5.4), and axioms (5.5)–(5.8) are implied by (5.1)–(5.3) and coherence for tricategories (see Chapter 10 and Appendix C in [12]).

References

[1] Mitchell Buckley, Richard Garner, Stephen Lack, and Ross Street, *The Catalan simplicial set*, Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society (2014), to appear.

[2] Saunders Mac Lane, *Natural associativity and commutativity*, Rice University Studies **49** (1963) 28–46.

[3] Kornel Szlachányi, *Skew-monoidal categories and bialgebroids*, arXiv:1201.4981v1 (2012).

[4] Stephen Lack and Ross Street, *Skew monoidales, skew warpings and quantum categories*, Theory and Applications of Categories **26** (2012) 385–402.

[5] Brian J. Day and Ross Street, *Quantum categories, star autonomy, and quantum groupoids*, in "Galois Theory, Hopf Algebras, and Semiabelian

Categories", Fields Institute Communications **43** (American Math. Soc. 2004) 187–226.

[6] Jacob Lurie, *Higher Algebra*, (see `http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/HigherAlgebra.pdf` August 2012.

[7] Saunders Mac Lane, *Categories for the Working Mathematician*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **5** (Springer-Verlag, 1971).

[8] G. Max Kelly, *On MacLane's conditions for coherence of natural associativities, commutativities, etc.*, Journal of Algebra **1** (1964) 397–402.

[9] Robert Gordon, A. John Power and Ross Street, *Coherence for tricategories*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society **117** no. 558 (1995) vi+81 pp.

[10] Ross Street, *The algebra of oriented simplices*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **49** (1987) 283–335.

[11] Antonio M. Cegarra and Benjamín A. Heredia, *Geometric Realizations of Tricategories* (see `http://arxiv.org/abs/1203.3664`).

[12] Nicholas Gurski, *An algebraic theory of tricategories*, PhD thesis (2006).